

All comments received are included in this appendix. Comments are shown as per the original submission (including original spelling and grammar), although details that may identify the respondent (e.g name or property number) have been removed.

All comments starting with INT, Blank and in UPPER CASE were entered internally from paper questionnaires submitted by post. All other comments were submitted via an online questionnaire.

All comments starting LATE and in UPPER CASE are postal responses received between the consultation closing date of 19 June and 26 June 2015 (additional time allowed for responses posted on 19 June to reach the council). Responses after this date were not recorded.

Road	Comments
Ann Moss Way	As stated above, I am strongly against parking controls in Ann Moss Way. There is not, and has never been, a problem with parking for residents here or their visitors. Parking controls have previously been proposed by the Council some years ago and were opposed by residents here and we remain opposed to this proposal. The only reason that the Council would put in parking controls here is as a money making opportunity as there is no benefit of doing so to residents here. It is entirely unnecessary and unwanted and will also look very ugly in what is currently a very pretty cul de sac.
Ann Moss Way	Based on my personal knowledge of the overwhelming objection to these proposals by the residents of Ann Moss Way, if the council continues to move forward with these proposals, I consider that such action would be so unreasonable that no reasonable council would have taken such a decision.
Ann Moss Way	Do not bring parking restrictions to Ann Moss Way.
Ann Moss Way	Do not include Ann Moss Way. We are perfectly fine as we are at present and do not need or want your restrictions, thank you.
Ann Moss Way	Do not want parking Zone. No need parking zone. Please provide evidence of requests from residents to introduce or consult upon a parking zone. If number of requests is enough to be considered.
Ann Moss Way	I am undecided, on the one hand parking restriction would stop non-residents from parking in our street but on the other hand it would also cause more expense for residents who would have to pay for visitors to park outside their house. Could residents be given free permits for visitors? Will parking permits only be sold to local residents or can anyone else buy them? I am also concerned about displacement if adjacent streets have parking restrictions and my street doesn't.
Ann Moss Way	I or my guests have never had a problem parking on Ann Moss Way.
Ann Moss Way	I understand the main problem is the commuters using the street as parking. I also agree with neighbours who have regular visitors that it can be difficult to park in my side of the street at times. If a resident area is introduced, I would prefer it to be restricted to 2 hours a day then revise its effect and if needed, increase to four hours and so on before a full 8;30-6;30 is introduced.
Ann Moss Way	I'm wondering if the proposed plans for Quebec way and Canada street has taken into consideration the impact that parking enforcements would have on the parents and children of Alfred Salter school. This school does not have breakfast clubs or after school childcare facilities and as a result working parents have to be very precise in working/pick up arrangements. This means that many need to drive to drop off and collect children. To not be able to do this would have a huge negative impact on working parents and childcare costs. It also would not favour parents who have to travel quite far to get to the

	<p>school and therefore also need to drive, unfortunately children are no longer guaranteed their local school and travelling in is commonplace.</p> <p>As the school doesn't provide after school clubs, these activities need to be elsewhere, again, making driving essential in order to reach the places on time.</p> <p>I also have an interest in how the parking occupancy was carried out. I had noticed my road being particularly busy one day and seen people on the street and assumed the extra cars were to do with their work. I did approach the people recording information and asked what they were doing, but it did raise the question as to whether this was an accurate survey or was perhaps purposely skewed in some way???</p>
Ann Moss Way	INT
Ann Moss Way	INT.
Ann Moss Way	INT. ALL RESIDENTS HAVE A DESIGNATED PARKING SPOT. THERE IS NO NEED FOR A PARKING ZONE ON ANN MOSS WAY.
Ann Moss Way	INT. AS FAR AS I AM AWARE THERE IS NOT A PARKING PROBLEM IN ANN MOSS WAY DUE TO OFF STREET PARKING. THERE IS NOT ENOUGH FREE PARKING IN THE AREA, SO WHY CANNOT ANN MOSS WAY GIVE SOME FREE PARKING TO SOME PEOPLE. THIS OPPORTUNITY IS BECOMING VERY RARE!!!
Ann Moss Way	INT. I THINK ANN MOSS WAY HAS BEEN GETTING INCREASINGLY BUSY WITH COMMUTER PARKING OVER PAST YEAR AND THIS RISKS SPOILING PEACEFUL NATURE OF THE CUL DE SAC. UNFORTUNATELY, I THINK ALL DAY PARKING CONTROLS ARE THE BEST ANSWER AS, IF NEIGHBOURING STREETS INTRODUCE THEM AND ANN MOSS WAY DOES NOT, ANN MOSS WAY WILL BEGIN TO BE USED MORE FOR SCHOOL RUN PARKING AS WELL.
Ann Moss Way	INT. IN RESPONSE TO QUESTION 5, WE ARE ALREADY ADJACENT TO THE EXISTING ROTHERHITHE PARKING ZONE AND PARKING DOESN'T SEEM TO BE A PROBLEM ON THIS STREET. IN RESPONSE TO QUESTION 7, A PARKING RESTRICTION BETWEEN 8.30 AND 10.30 WOULD DETER ANY POTENTIAL PARKING BY COMMUTERS. IT IS ALSO WORTH NOTING THAT ANN MOSS WAY IS A 5 MINUTE WALK FROM THE HUGE CAR PARK FOR SURREY QUAYS SHOPPING CENTRE, SO THERE IS CAPACITY FOR PARKING LOCALLY. I'VE NOT NOTICED PARKING ISSUES ON ANN MOSS WAY.
Ann Moss Way	INT. NOT NEEDED IN ANN MOSS WAY. NOT NEAR ENOUGH TO CANADA WATER STATION FOR COMMUTERS TO USE. WOULD BE ANOTHER CONSIDERATION FOR VISITORS/DELIVERIES. ONLY RESIDENTS PARK IN ANN MOSS WAY - RARELY SEE AN UNKNOWN VEHICLE.
Ann Moss Way	It would be helpful, if road parking is to be restricted, to have clear signage that the private parking spaces allocated to specific properties (i.e. 'driveways') are not available for public use. For example, the bay outside our house (which is specifically allocated for our private use); and also the area designated 'Ann Moss House' on the plans. These are private land, but the open layout of the estate does not make it clear. We have already experienced people parking in our private space, thinking it is just a public parking space. This could get worse, if on-street parking is restricted.
Ann Moss Way	LATE
Ann Moss Way	LATE. I WANT A PARKING ZONE. IT HAS TO GO IN ALL THE AREAS OTHERWISE THE KNOCK ON AFFECT ON ROADS WITHOUT IT WOULD BE SILLY. ALL ROADS NEED IT PARTICULARLY MONDAY TO FRIDAY. A 2 HOUR RESTRICTION WORKS VERY WELL IN OTHER PARTS OF LONDON. DOES NO NEED TO BE ALL DAY. 2 HOURS STOPS THOSE THAT PARK FOR STATION, BUSES ETC.

Ann Moss Way	please do not introduce parking permits into this street. Parking permits are the whole reason why I had to move away from my last property.
Ann Moss Way	<p>The very nature of your questions would suggest to me that you are bent on introducing a parking zone no matter what we say. Seems your stand that parking zones cause displacement is acting as your get out clause for those residents that might oppose this proposal.</p> <p>Would be interesting to know how much income is normally generated for the council when parking zones are introduced? This could end up costing us an extra £120.00 a year for a service not required.</p> <p>I appreciate budgets are being cut but please don't introduce a parking zone not required.</p>
Ann Moss Way	There is not a problem with parking in Ann Moss Way. This is a small residential cul-de-sac, with most homes having their own driveway. The additional street parking is limited anyway, and mostly used by residents with second cars, so parking zones would be a waste of time. I live at the rear of Ann Moss Way on an area of unadopted road which is not owned by the council, I don't have a driveway but do have two allocated parking places outside my home, which are not controlled by permits. I think if a parking zone was introduced in Ann Moss Way, those residents with second cars living in homes on the proposed roads would park in the area outside my property, which is for people without driveways, instead of paying out the ridiculous charges for resident permits. I strongly feel that this proposal is more about raising revenue for the local authority than helping residents. If it was purely about stopping commuter parking in the area, then why not put in place a residents only parking scheme with free permits to homeowners/tenants on proof of residence?
Ann Moss Way	You should extend the double yellow lines at the entrance into Ann Moss Way. Cars parked too close to the junction with Lower Road effectively means that cars enter Ann Moss Way on the wrong side of the road. This is dangerous.
Ann Moss Way	(blank)
Canon Beck Road	Am very much for the parking permit in this area however it should be free for resident. There always an issues with parking in this area because people will park here all day and take the train to work and us the resident never has any parking spaces.
Canon Beck Road	As a resident I would like to be able to park as near as possible to my home as currently people are using spaces to commute which is unfair.
Canon Beck Road	<p>I don't see the need for a new Controlled Parking Zone for Canon Beck Road. Surely the most sensible thing for this area is to simply extend the existing CPZ marked as zone H. This gives much more flexibility for residents to make use of the (currently) underused residents parking in zone H.</p> <p>In addition, I do not see the need to alter the current parking bays at the Southern end of Canon Beck Road. 3 vehicles can easily be parked in a line perpendicular to the highway. To change this to parallel parking would effective reduce the amount of parking space as well as restricting the available turning space. Essentially a lose, lose situation for residents, emergency vehicles and other road users (e.g. Southwark Council recycling and refuse vehicles) alike.</p>
Canon Beck Road	INT. CANON BECK ROAD IS ONE OF THE LAST STREETS IN THE AREA WITHOUT PERMITS. THIS MEANS THAT MANY CARS IN THE AREA USE IT TO PARK.

	WE'VE ASKED THE COUNCIL IF WE COULD PAY TO ACCESS THE ALBION STREET OR SWAN ROAD PARKING, BUT WAS TOLD NO. WE ARE, THEREFORE, OFTEN STUCK WITH WHERE TO PARK OUR CAR. WE'VE ALSO SEEN AN INCREASE OF PEOPLE ARRIVING WITH THEIR CARS IN THE MORNING BEFORE WALKING OVER TO CANADA WATER TUBE OR ROTHERHITHE OVERGROUND STATION. THIS EXTRA COMMUTER PRESSURE IS JUST TOO MUCH! WE HOPE THE PROPOSAL WILL GO FORWARD WITHOUT ANY UNNECESSARY DELAYS. ALSO - STRANGELY, SUNDAY AFTERNOONS - EVENINGS - IT'S OFTEN IMPOSSIBLE TO PARK UNLESS YOU'RE RIDICULOUSLY LUCKY!
Canon Beck Road	INT. IT WOULD CAUSE ME GREAT ANXIETY IF I WAS FORCED TO BUY A PARKING PERMIT TO PARK OUTSIDE OR NEAR MY OWN FLAT. THERE IS ALWAYS A SPACE IN THE ROAD OR NEARBY. IF YOU WANT TO RELIEVE PARKING ON THE ROADS THEN INTRODUCE COMPULSARY UNDERGROUND CAR PARKS INTO NEW DEVELOPMENTS AT THE PLANNING STAGE. I DO NOT WANT PARKING PERMITS INTRODUCED IN CANON BECK ROAD AND AM WHOLY AGAINST THE PRINCIPAL. THERE ARE PARKING PERMIT BAYS IN SWAN ROAD WHICH ARE NOT USED - THE ROAD IS MOSTLY EMPTY ALL DAY. REMOVING THESES PERMIT AREAS WOULD BE A BETTER IDEA, AS THEY ARE NOT USED, AND THIS WOULD ALLOW MORE FLEXIBILITY.
Canon Beck Road	INT. MYSELF AS I'VE ALREADY SAID ABOVE THE SURROUNDING STREETS NOT OUR CONCERN THE PROBLEM FOR RESIDENTS WITH CARS IS THE CAR PARK ADJACENT TO BEECHHOUSE. YOU SHOULD ONLY GIVE UP TO A MAXIMUM OF 2 PERMITS PER HOUSEHOLD. NO MORE PLUS VISITOR PERMITS FOR FRIENDS AND FAMILY. ALSO, I'VE NOTICED THAT YOU HAVE COMPLETELY FORGOTTEN ABOUT THE AGE OF MOST OF THE RESIDENTS WHO HAVE A CAR AND DID NOT GROW UP IN THE COMPUTER AGE AND SMART PHONES, LIKE ME, THEY DON'T EVEN KNOW HOW TO TURN ON A COMPUTER LET ALONE GO ON LINE FOR PURCHASE OF PERMITS, YOU MUST IMPLEMENT ANOTHER WAY BY PHONE OR LETTER ABOUT PURCHASING PERMITS.
Canon Beck Road	It would be a good idea to use the space next to the play area as there is already a road there.
Canon Beck Road	The design looks to be exactly what is required in Canon Beck Road. On weekdays parking is nigh on impossible due to commuters and also residents of Swan Road who park here presumably to avoid buying a permit for their own road! Compare Canon Beck and Swan Roads during the week and at weekends - Swan Road empty during the week, Canon Beck Road full. Swan Road full at weekends, spaces free in Canon Beck Road.
Canon Beck Road	There is already absolutely minimal parking available in the Canon Beck Road area and is usually self policing in maximising its use. Making the area a restricted zone beyond the absolute minimum can only be seen as a cash cow if you charge the hourly rates proposed for visitors beyond one or two hours. Your objective should only to be to deter commuter parking and nothing else.
Canon Beck Road	(blank)
Gomm Road	I am 94 years old and do not drive, irely on my daughter to care for me and she visits me regularly in her car. I live in the part of Gomm Rd not included in the parking proposals and am concerned that parking restrictions in the public highway part of Gomm rd will simply move the problem to my 'estate' area of Gomm rd. i am concerned therefore that my main carer will not be able to park as the spaces will all be filled by those looking for free parking on the

	estate.
Gomm Road	I fully support this proposal. Gomm Road is plagued by drivers who, due to the proximity to the Underground, park here all day Monday to Friday while working in central London. It may also help to encourage parents from St Joseph's primary school to consider other means of transport when dropping off and collecting their children.
Gomm Road	INT
Gomm Road	INT.
Gomm Road	INT. AS IT IS TODAY, GOMM ROAD PARKING IS MAKING IT MORE DANGEROUS THAN NECESSARY FOR THE CHILDREN AT ST JOSEPHS SCHOOL IN GOMM ROAD, THEREFORE, ENDORSE THESE PROPOSALS.
Gomm Road	INT. I AM IN FAVOUR OF ANY SCHEME THAT ALLOWS US TO PARK, AT ANY TIME. PREFERABLY - GOMM ROAD PARKING FOR GOMM ROAD RESIDENTS. A PARKING PERMIT IN THEORY WOULD BE THE SOLUTION IF WE WOULD HAVE THE PLACE IN OUR ROAD AVAILABLE, AND IT WAS NOT STILL SHORT OF SPACES IN THE AREAS IN THE SAME ZONE. PRESENTLY, WE ARE UP AGAINST COMMUTERS PARKING AND TRAVELLING TO WORK, PEOPLE FROM CANADA WATER WHO REFUSE TO PAY FOR THEIR OWN PARKING PERMITS IN THEIR AREA AND USING GOMM ROAD AS THEIR LONG TERM PARKING SOLUTION, OTHERS USING GOMM ROAD AS A CAR PARK WHILE USING 7 ISLANDS DAY AND NIGHT.
Gomm Road	INT. I'VE LIVED ON THE ESTATE FOR 31 YEARS WHEN NEW. THERE ARE 12 SPACES AND 9 PLACES TO PARK. IF THERE WERE PARKING ZONE WE WOULD LOSE 9 PLACES THROUGH YELLOW LINES AND I THINK IT WOULD CAUSE A BIG PROBLEM IF GOMM ROAD WAS ZONED.
Gomm Road	INT. PARKING ZONE SHOULD BE EXTENDED TO ALSO INCLUDE REAR SIDE OF GOMM ROAD, PLEASE SEE ATTACHED MAPS.
Gomm Road	INT. PLEASE CONSIDER 7 DAYS PERMIT ONLY PARKING . AS A RESIDENT OF GOMM ROAD WE OFTEN FIND OURSELVES NOWHERE TO PARK - THE STREET IS USED AS LONG STAY PARKING (IE LEAVING CARS ON ROAD AND GETTING ON TUBE STATION AND COMING BACK LATE EVENING OR AFTER A LONG HOLIDAY ABROAD. PLEASE HAVE THESE 7 DAY PERMITS AS ITS REALLY NEEDED FOR THE RESIDENTS. PLEASE UNDERSTAND THE FREE PARKING IS ALSO ENCOURAGING BAD DRIVERS AROUND SCHOOL TIMES AND CAUSING CONGESTION ON GOMM ROAD. PLEASE CONSIDER THESE PROBLEMS THAT ARE FOREVER NOT ENDING.
Gomm Road	INT. THE PROPOSAL DOES NOT INCLUDE THE WHOLE OF GOMM ROAD. I LIVE IN THE CUL DE SAC OF GOMM ROAD AND I CONSISTENTLY FIND LEISURE CENTRE VISITORS AND PARK VISITORS PARKING OUTSIDE MY HOUSE, CAUSING ME TO PARK ON THE MAIN GOMM ROAD. IF THE PROPOSAL FOR GOMM ROAD (MAIN ROAD) PASSES, I AM AFRAID THE PARKING SCENARIO WILL WORSEN ON MY PART OF GOMM ROAD. PLEASE REVISE. UNLESS YOU COULD PUT A SIGN ON THE ENTRANCE OF THE CUL DE SAC THAT ACCESS IS RESTRICTED TO RESIDENTS ONLY.
Gomm Road	It will important to make every conceivable space available to ensure that we keep access to the maximum number of parking bays. A consideration for me is that I have young grandchildren who visit regularly and I cannot walk a distance with them and their belongings, (especially the twins), or leave them alone in the house while I return to the car for any reason.
Gomm Road	The street is becoming almost impossible to get parked. From the commuters

	<p>who arrive in the morning and then walk to the tube or the gym users or the parents of school children who leave car all day and the visitors to the park. It just means that you can never confidently get parked</p>
Lower Road	<p>INT. WE ARE HAPPY FOR A PARKING ZONE (OF THE PROPOSED AREA) TO BE INTRODUCED, HOWEVER, THE ISSUE IT NEEDS TO ADDRESS IS COMMUTERS PARKING ON ANN MOSS WAY ALL DAY. AS SUCH WE FEEL STRONGLY THAT ALL DAY CHARGING FOR VISITOR PERMITS IS NOT REQUIRED. 1) 2 HOUR SHOT IN THE MIDDLE OF THE DAY WOULD DEAL WITH THE ISSUE AND 2) AS WE LIVE ON THE MAIN ROAD, THE ONLY PLACE VISITORS CAN PULL IN TO DROP SOMETHING OFF IS IN ANN MOSS WAY - IT WOULD BE VERY DIFFICULT IF THEY COULD NOT STOP THERE AT ANY TIME DURING THE DAY, BUT A 2 HOUR PERIOD WOULD BE OK. COULD YOU ALSO CONFIRM WE WOULD BE ENTITLED TO VISITOR PERMITS FOR ANN MOSS WAY? THANKS.</p>
Orange Place	<p>INT.</p>
Orange Place	<p>INT. I HAVE PROBLEMS PARKING ON SATURDAYS (ALL DAY)</p>
Swan Road	<p>INT. IT IS SO FRUSTRATING WITH ALL THE COMMUTERS THAT PARK FOR USE OF THE STATION, SOMETHING NEEDS TO BE DONE TO STOP THEM, THERE ARE TOO MANY.</p>
Swan Road	<p>Since double-yellows were added to Albion Street (About a year ago), parking has been very difficult anywhere near Ainsty Estate. This was done for access of refuse vehicles and they still do not use this road.</p> <p>The main problem now is that Non-Locals use our free parking area as parking on their commute into London. I have checked vehicle movements and this is definitely the case - with most leaving after 5pm weeknights. Many of these are large work/delivery vans which take up more than one parking space each.</p> <p>It has got to the stage where we dare not move our car, as when we return there are simply no spaces. We have to park far from home and try to keep watch for a free space then rush to the car to move it - which doesn't always work.</p> <p>Please implement as much, affordable, RESIDENTIAL permit parking as is possible as it is really very badly needed, along with prioritizing of local residents to get permits. I have seen heated arguments occur due to lack of parking.</p> <p>Your proposal for 7m double-yellow-line extension into all side streets really seems unnecessary as this entire area is a 20 mph zone and visibility onto Brunel Rd isn't really often that much of an issue. If it ever is an issue it is due to the speed of traffic along Brunel Road. We really could do with somebody on your team looking into speed-control measures from Canon Beck Rd to past Rotherhithe Tube Station.</p> <p>Thank you for this opportunity.</p>
Water Gardens Square	<p>Extension of current zone should be considered to provide more spaces.</p> <p>Whilst supporting the proposal, with the number of developments and therefore residents in the area set to increase the demand for road space is only going to worsen and the current plans only have a provision for a small number of cars to be parked.</p>
Water Gardens	<p>INT. NOT SURE THERE IS A PROBLEM WITH PARKING AT THE MOMENT COST</p>

Square	BENEFITS ANALYSIS OF THE INTRODUCTION OF THESE PARKING ZONES IS NOT APPARENT. PLEASE SHARE THE CONCERNS RAISED TO DATE IN THE EXHIBITION FOR RESIDENTS TO MAKE AN INFORMED DECISION. THE PROPOSED SPACE OUTSIDE OF WATER GARDENS SQUARE IS ALSO NOT FEASIBLE AND NOT PRACTICAL DUE TO THE SHAPE OF THE CURRENT PARKING BAYS. DISPLACEMENT WOULD NOT OCCUR, IF PARKING ZONES ARE NOT INTRODUCED.
Water Gardens Square	INT. THERE IS NOT A PARKING PROBLEM ON WEEKENDS IN CANADA STREET AND QUEBEC WAY. THIS IS THE ONLY TIME VISITORS TO MY FLAT USE ON STREETPARKING. I BELIEVE INTRODUCING PARKING CONTROLS WOULD CREATE A LACK OF PARKING SPACES AND COST MONEY TO LOCALS BECAUSE OF THE COST OF PERMITS, I SEE ONLY NEGATIVE RESULTS OF INTRODUCING THE PROPOSED PARKING CONTROLS FOR THIS AREA.
Water Gardens Square	LATE
Water Gardens Square	parking is 100% in use on Canada Street - visitors almost never able to park
Water Gardens Square	(blank)
Wolfe Crescent	<p>1. I suggest Wolfe Crescent (area marked red in the Feasibility Design map) be taken out of the parking zone. The two sides of the crescent are either garage entrances or privately owned open-air parking slots, in front of which non-owner cars should not park, as per section 243 of the Highway Code. Garage owners have parked in front of their own garages for 25+ years without problems. There has been no sign of overspill from the Canada Street or Quebec Way so far.</p> <p>2. To prevent overspill once the parking zone is introduced, a fob-operated traffic barrier could be considered at the entrance of Wolfe Crescent, with potentially the Wolfe Crescent freeholder company (owned by the residents) contributing to its running costs. You may want to consider a separate consultation on this issue.</p> <p>3. I suggest the council reduce the £125 p.a. parking permit fee. This is essentially another tax on motorists, and the amount is more than twice the national average, as per this article published this week:</p> <p>http://www.telegraph.co.uk/finance/personalfinance/household-bills/11664594/Parking-permit-postcode-lottery-750-in-Manchester-or-50p-in-Scotland.html</p> <p>Please note that I fully appreciate that the profits from parking permits, fines, etc. are ring-fenced for road improvements. However, these profits do reduce road spending from the council's other sources. I am not sure that it is fair that residents in selected (small) areas of the borough, where there are parking zones, contribute to road spending well beyond all others who happen to live in non-parking zoned streets.</p>
Wolfe Crescent	I am appalled that restrictions should be contemplated in our short crescent which is a no through road.
Wolfe Crescent	INT.
Wolfe Crescent	INT. IN MANY AREAS IN LONDON THEY HAVE A RESIDENT ONLY ZONE WHERE YOU PAY FOR A MONTHLY OR YERLY PASS I WOULD LIKE TO INTRODUCE THIS

	<p>TO MY AREA. TOO MANY PEOPLE ARE DRIVING INTO THE AREA AND JUST JUMP ONTO THE UNDERGROUND. I HAVE BEEN FORCED TO PARK MY CAR MILES FROM MY HOUSE ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS. A FEW TIMES I HAVE TO TALK TEN MINUTES TO MY CAR, DRIVE TO TESCO, THEN DROP MY SHOPPING AT HOME AND THEN PARK MY CAR TEN MINUTES AWAY FROM HOME AGAIN. RESIDENT ONLY ZONES WOULD BE IDEAL. BUT IF NOT, THE PARKING PER DAY SHOULD NOT BE SO CHEAP AS SUGGESTED, IT WILL MAKE NO DIFFERENCE OTHERWISE.</p>
Wolfe Crescent	<p>INT. PARKING IN WOLFE CRES IS CURRENTLY 95% FINE AND THE PROPOSED PARKING ZONE WILL ACTUALLY MAKE THINGS WORSE. ALMOST ALL PARKING IS EITHER IN ALLOCATED BAYS (NOT AFFECTED BY THE PARKING ZONE) OR NOSE-IN IN FRONT OF GARAGES, WHERE NO ONE ELSE CAN PARK, SIDEWAYS ON TO THE HOUSES, REDUCING PARKING SPACE AND HENCE MAKING PARKING WORSE. I PERSONALLY HAVE SPACE IN FRONT OF MY HOUSE TO PARK AND ANOTHER SPOT IN FRONT OF MY GARAGE IN CARLTON HOUSE, NEITHER OF WHICH GET USED BY ANYONE ELSE. I REALISE THAT PRESSURE ON PARKING OUTSIDE THE CRESCENT WILL HAVE A KNOCK ON EFFECT ON US, BUT THE PROPOSED PARKING ZONE IS NOT THE SOLUTION.</p>
Wolfe Crescent	<p>INT. WOLFE CRES HAS NEVER HAD AN ISSUE WITH PARKING, BUT CANADA STREET IS OFTEN VERY BUSY AS A RESULT OF THE FLATS AT THE WATER GARDENS, OFTEN CONTINUOUS PARKING ON DOUBLE YELLOW LINES AND NEVER A PARKING WARDEN IN SIGHT! I HAVE A REGULAR VISITOR (WHO IS A PENSIONER) WHO HELPS WITH MY CHILDREN - HE NEVER HAS ANY ISSUE WITH PARKING OUTSIDE WOLFE CRES, BUT THE EXPENSE OF A VISITORS PARKING PERMIT WOULD BE QUITE CONSIDERABLE.</p>
Wolfe Crescent	<p>INT. WOLFE CRESCENT HAS NATURAL STREET PARKING, RESIDENTS PARK OUTSIDE THEIR OWN GARAGES OR IN THEIR OWN PARKING BAYS. TO INTRODUCE PERMIT PARKING COULD PROVE PROBLEMATIC. PLEASE NOTE THAT EXTENSIVE BUILDING WILL SOON START ON CANADA STREET/QUEBEC WAY.</p>
Wolfe Crescent	<p>LATE. 1) IF THERE WAS A PARKING ZONE ON WOLFE CRESCENT RESIDENTS WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO GET INTO THEIR OWN GARAGES IF A CAR HAD PARKED IN FRONT OF THEIR HOUSES. 2) THERE IS NOT A PARKING PROBLEM IN THIS AREA AND IN ADDITION DOUBLE YELLOW LINES IN ENTRANCE TO WOLFE CRESCENT EXIT AND RESTRICTION IS NOT CURRENTLY ENFORCED. 3) INTRODUCING CPZ MAY INCREASE PARKING IN THE SPACES OWNED BY FLATS - AS PEOPLE TRY TO AVOID PAYING CHARGES. THERE IS CURRENTLY NOT A PROBLEM WITH CARS PARKED IN FLATS SPACES.</p>
Wolfe Crescent	<p>There is no parking problem in Wolfe Crescent, and it all allocated parking spaces and parking in front of properties. However commuters park on Canada street and Quebec way and I think it would be helpful if there was a 2 hour restricton on these roads, to stop commuters parking.</p> <p>I am also perplexed as to way the double yellow line under the arch leading into Wolfe Crescent has been removed recently, because if people start parking in this area there would be restricted access for emergency services and big lorries either delivering or picking up rubbish for the people living in the Crescent.</p>
Wolfe Crescent	<p>Wolfe Crescent does NOT have a parking problem and I can not find anyone who requested CPZ. Wolfe Crescent does not seem to be mentioned in the report. Canada Street & Quebec Way experience some commuter parking issues on the corners which impact on sight lines.</p>

	<p>There are integral garages to the houses the whole length of Wolfe Crescent and house residents park in front of or in their garages.</p> <p>It is not possible to introduce parking bays in front of people's garages.</p> <p>Why have the double yellow lines recently been removed from under the one and only vehicle entrance ? The lines put there specifically to stop parking and to allow emergency services unhindered access.</p> <p>Canada Street ends at the rear of no 18 Wolfe Crescent. The car park to Sanders House belongs to Wolfe Crescent Management Limited.</p> <p>The proposals to introduce a permit / pay bay opposite the entrance to Alfred Salter Primary School - on corner Quebec Way / Canada Street is ill conceived and dangerous.</p> <p>The proposals to introduce bays on either side of Quebec Way and Canada Street opposite one another turns public highways into narrow impassable lanes. They are ill conceived and dangerous proposals</p> <p>The bay outside the Water Gardens (Gilverny House) is vital for deliveries / disabled / taxi drops off / pick up. It should not be used for longer term parking</p>
Wolfe Crescent	<p>Wolfe Crescent is a cul de sac, and we have no real problem. It is used by some 'school run ' cars for Alfred Salter school, but is not a problem and does help to ease the 'outside school car jams'. We are a good community and tend to police this ourselves. It is imperative that we can continue to park on the entrance to our garages (they are too small to actually use) as several of us are OAP's. That means we only use our cars for essential use i.e. long journeys, heavy loads etc and continue mainly using public transport with the help of our Freedom Pass. Which also helps to lessen pollution,helps the environment and traffic jams etc.</p>
Wolfe Crescent	(blank)